UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/576,813 | 12/04/2006 | Thomas Stiefel | 251508 | 9037 |
| | 7590 08/23/201 & MAYER, LTD | EXAMINER | | |
| TWO PRUDEN | TIAL PLAZA, SUITE | GWARTNEY, ELIZABETH A | | |
| 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1781 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/23/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination | ent under |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| 10/576,813 | STIEFEL, THON | MAS |
| ELIZABETH GWARTNEY | 1781 | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appea | Brief Request for Rev | iew filed 18 July | 2011. |
|---|---|--|---|
| Improper Request – The I reason(s): | Request is improper an | d a conference | will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has ☐ The request does not inc ☐ A proposed amendment ☐ Other: . | lude reasons why a rev | view is appropria | ate. |
| The time period for filing a respo the mail date of the last Office co | nse continues to run frommunication, if no Not | om the receipt d ice of Appeal ha | ate of the Notice of Appeal or from as been received. |
| held. The application remains un is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no | der appeal because the rief in accordance with h from mailing this dec otice of appeal, whichever 37 CFR 1.136 based up | ere is at least or 37 CFR 41.37. ision, or the bala ver is greater. F | e-Appeal Brief conference has been ne actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal ance of the two-month time period urther, the time period for filing of the e of this decision or the receipt date |
| The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from co | | im(s) is as follov | ws: |
| 3. Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosect applicant at this time. | | | |
| 4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A action will be mailed. No further | | | |
| All participants: | | | |
| (1) <u>ELIZABETH GWARTNEY</u> . | | (3) <i>ROBERT J.</i> | WARDEN, SR. |
| (2) <u>Donald Tarazano</u> . | | (4) | |
| /ELIZABETH GWARTNEY/ Examiner, Art Unit 1781 | /D. Lawrence Taraz Supervisory Patent E Unit 1781 | | /ROBERT J. WARDEN, Sr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1700 |